## 1 2

# 3

## 4 5

## 6 7

# 9

8

## 10

## 11 12

# 13

# 14

## 15 16

## 17

### 18 19

## 20

## 21 22

## 23

24

## 25 26

27

### 28

#### PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE

#### TO THE HONORABLE COURT AND TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIES:

This miscellaneous action arose because Respondent OnlineNIC, Inc. failed to respond to a subpoena served by Petitioner DailyeDeals.com, Inc. That subpoena was served in connection with the Southern District of California action entitled *DailveDeals.com*, *Inc.* v. ECoupons, Inc., S.D. Cal. Case No. 06-CV-1522-L-JMA (the "Underlying Action"). The Petition that is this miscellaneous action (and all discovery matters attendant thereto) were referred to Magistrate Judge James. (Docket Nos. 4, 6.) Because this matter consists entirely of the Petition and its related discovery issues, the matter was effectively referred to Judge James in its entirety for all purposes, and Petitioner DailyeDeals.com, Inc. consents to the jurisdiction of Judge James for all purposes in dealing with this miscellaneous action (while Respondent OnlineNIC, Inc. has never appeared herein).

The parties to the Underlying Action executed a settlement agreement on August 1, 2007. All material terms of that agreement have now been satisfactorily fulfilled, and on November 6, 2007, a final judgment was entered and the Underlying Action was closed in the Southern District of California. (See Docket Nos. 133-134 in the Underlying Action, of which this Court may take judicial notice pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201.)

This Court has scheduled a hearing for November 15, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. on Petitioner's Order To Show Cause why OnlineNIC, Inc. should not be held in contempt for failing to comply with DailyeDeals.com, Inc.'s subpoena. In view of the final settlement and judgment in the Underlying Action, Petitioner requests that hearing be vacated and this miscellaneous action be dismissed without prejudice, should unforeseen circumstances necessitate a new action and/or motion to enforce Petitioner's rights as against Respondent.

-2-

27

28

20 21

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case 3:07-mc-80135-JSW Document 13 Filed 11/12/2007

Page 5 of 7

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of San Francisco; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action; my business address is Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor, San Francisco, California 94111-4109.

On November 12, 2007, I am causing the following document to be served, described as

# PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE OF THIS MISCELLANEOUS ACTION, AND [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON

on the interested parties in this action through service of true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes and/or packages addressed as follows:

#### See Attached Service List

- BY MAIL: I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Francisco, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I served such envelope or package to be delivered on the same day to an authorized courier or driver authorized by the overnight service carrier to receive documents, in an envelope or package designated by the overnight service carrier.
- BY FACSIMILE: I served said document(s) to be transmitted by facsimile pursuant to Rule 2.306 of the California Rules of Court. The telephone number of the sending facsimile machine was 415-434-3947. The name(s) and facsimile machine telephone number(s) of the person(s) served are set forth in the service list. The sending facsimile machine (or the machine used to forward the facsimile) issued a transmission report confirming that the transmission was complete and without error. Pursuant to Rule 2.306(g)(4), a copy of that report is attached to this declaration.
- STATE: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
- FEDERAL: I declare that I am a member of the bar of this Court. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 12, 2007, at San Francisco, California.

Nathaniel Bruno

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

1	SERVICE LIST	
2	Rex Liu [Agent for Service] OnlineNIC, Inc. 2315 – 26th Avenue San Francisco, CA 94116	
4 5 6 7 8 9	Kenneth Moore Fitzgerald Latham and Watkins 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101  Phone: (619) 236-1234 Fax: (619) 696-7419 Email: Kenneth.Fitzgerald@LW.com	Attorney for:  Ecoupons Inc. Matthew Cheng Maksim Rubis Andrew Mills HTTP://WWW.Ecoupons.com  (Parties to Southern District of California Case No. 06 CV 1522 H (JMA) to which this
10		miscellaneous action is related.)
11 12	Andrew B. Kaplan	Attorney for:
13 14	Law Offices of Andrew B. Kaplan 3563 Fourth Avenue San Diego, CA 92103	Bao T. Pham Trang T. Pham
15 16	Phone: (619) 232-1991 Fax: (619) 232-1989 Email: Andrew.Kaplan@AKaplanLawFirm.com	(Parties to Southern District of California Case No. 06 CV 1522 H (JMA) to which this miscellaneous action is related.)
17		·
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		